

Editors:
Rohmani Nur Indah
M. Edy Thoyib

Engaging Linguistics and Literature:

Perspectives and Insights beyond the Curriculum



English Letters and Language Department Faculty of Humanities Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University, Malang

Engaging Linguistics and Literature: Perspectives and Insights beyond the Curriculum

Rohmani Nur Indah & M. Edy Thoyib © Naila Pustaka, 2015

All Right Reserved by law.

Prohibited quote or reproduce part or all of the contents of this book by any means, without the written permission of the publisher

Editors: Rohmani Nur Indah M. Edy Thoyib

Designer: Bayu Tara Wijaya

First Published, Sept. 2015 ISBN: 978-602-1290-22-4

Published by:



Kemutug 32 Ring Road Selatan Banguntapan - Bantul Yogyakarta 55191 Telp. 081217163820 / 081555788548 email: naila.pustaka@gmail.com

in collaboration with

English Letters and Language Department Faculty of Humanities Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University, Malang

Table of Content

LANGUAGE TEACHING	
Reading Comprehension through ICT-based Stategy at Secondary Level Student	3
Learning by Employing Presentation, Practice, Management of the Company of the C	12
Grammar in EFL Contexts: Overtly or Covertly	19
Management: Collaborative Groups for Teaching State Islamic University Sunan Ampel	29
Critical Reading in Developing the Ability of Writing	38
meligences Theory in EFL Speaking Class: Its	44
Academic Controversy Model to Trigger Students'	54
Comer as an Independent Learning Resource: The Vocational School Students	63
Example of the Seventh Semester Students of the English Teaching IKIP PGRI Madiun in Communic Expressions	70
Students' Active Learning in Speaking Class through	80
Single Mind Mapping Can Enhance Young Learners'	88
Program Students of the English Program	98
Project Work in Teaching English at Branding School Malang Area	106

Improving Vocabulary of Occupational Health and Safety Students through Multimedia Used in the Classroom

The Implementation of Graphic Organizer (GO) in Teaching Reading at Third Semester Students of IKIP PGRI Madiun

Facilitating Listening Comprehension: Acquiring Listening Strategies
Cultural Transfer in EFL's Writing Class

The Implementation of Teaching ESP: Problem and Solution

Relationship between Explicit Knowledge of Grammar and Proficiency Level of the Students

The Use of Fillers as a Speaking-Problem Solving In EFL Learners' Speaking Class

Intercultural Learning via Online Chatroom of EFL Students

Interacting of English Foreign Language in Classroom Activities at the Junior High School

The Influence on Small Group Interaction Technique on Student Achievement in Reading Comprehension The Development of English Textbook Based on The Character Values In Curriculum 2013 For Secondary High School Students In Kabupaten Sumenep Madura

LINGUISTICS

World Englishes Issues for Indonesia as an Expanding Country

Stuttering Utterances Produced by an English Department Student

The Fluidity of Spoken vs. Written Genre: A Discourse Analysis Perspective

Metadiscourse and Rhetorical Moves in English Thesis Abstract

Contributing Factors Toward First and Second Language Acquisition: A Manifestation of Krashen's Affective Filter Hypothesis

Metadiscourse and Rhetorical Moves in English Thesis Abstract

Muhammad Saibani Wiyanto STKIP PGRI JOMBANG msaibaw@yahoo.com

Abstract

An abstract is a brief summary of the main components of a research project. It functions to save time in reading and gives salient information about the article enabling the readers to decide whether they would war to further pursue a full reading. The topic is very significant because functions on two levels: informational and indicative. The informational function is designed to encapsulate the paper, so it can and should brefl state the problem, the method used to study the problem, and the principal data and conclusions. The indicative function is designed to illustrate the content of a paper, essentially serving as a table of contents. making it easy for potential readers to decide whether or not to read the paper. This research will share about how metadiscourse and rhetorical moves were applied in abstract writing. The method used is qualitative research. The data dealing with abstract writing (i.e. thesis) is chosen from and limited to (Master of English Education and Art) documents written by master's program of The State of Surabaya University. There are thirty sample theses from a total of sixty exactly, starting from 2013-2013

Key words: abstract, metadiscourse, rhetorical moves

1. Introduction

An abstract is a brief summary of the main components of a research project. It functions to save time in reading and gives salient information about the article enabling the readers to decide whether the would want to pursue a full reading (Al-Ali & Sahawneh, 2011). Several past investigations have examined rhetorical moves in research article abstracts. Most studies have focused on the analysis of the organizational patterns of RA sections in terms of their constituent moves, such as the study of the introduction section (Samraj, 2002, 2005 Swales, 1990, 2004).

On the other side, metadiscourse, often wrongly characterized as 'discourse about discourse', is a concept familiar to many engaged research and instruction in composition, reading, and text structure. Based on a view of writing as a social and communicative engagement between writer and reader, metadiscourse focuses our attention on the ways writers project themselves into their discourse to signal their attitude.

towards both the content and the audience of the text. As a result, it has been taken up by researchers of both social constructionist and functional orientations to discourse and by corpus analysts attracted by the possibility of tracing patterns of interaction and cohesion across texts.

More elaborate model proposed by Hyland (2002) will be employed, which includes five moves: Introduction, Purpose, Method, Product and Conclusion. Compared with the IMRD model, this framework distinguishes the abstract's writing, because it has a different role from the introduction's typical purpose of providing a justification for the research. In this framework, a product move is adopted instead of the result move, as Hyland (2002) clarified that this move can better account for abstracts from the social science fields, which sometimes include not only a statement of empirical results but also a statement of the argument. The article was about metadiscourse. Hyland (2005:49) stated that it can be seen in two dimensions: interactive dimension and interactional dimension. These dimensions exist because an abstract has an organizational text and message from the writer to the reader.

2. Methods

This article used qualitative research and supported by content analysis. According to Strauss and Corbin (1990:17) in its analysis a qualitative research does not use statistical analysis or other quantifiers, even though quantitative method is often used for collecting the data. In this case, the research will be an analysis of abstract writing written by master's graduate of the state of Surabaya University. The analysis will refer to a "genre-based" analysis (Swales & Feak, 2009), and also use metadiscourse. One type of text, abstract writing, is discussed.

The source of data will be the abstract of English Thesis written by master's of education and Art of the state of Surabaya University. The data has taken 5 examples of English abstract thesis. As the instrument, the researcher collects the data and interpret them. The researcher employs a deep involvement in the process of the data processing until the end of the process, conclusion. In analyzing the data, the researcher becomes the main measurement device. It means that the researcher has a main function in conducting the analysis from beginning until the end of the analysis.

The data dealing with abstract writing (i.e. thesis) will be chosen from and limited to the (Master of Education and Art) written by students from The State of Surabaya University in the graduate program. The number of samples will be five thesis abstract samples of sixty thesis abstract exactly starting from 2010-2013 The main criteria of the samples are as follows: The abstracts have been examined in a thesis.

The analysis of the sample abstract writing covered two levels: micro structure, i.e. linguistic features focusing on the metadiscourse

pattern in relation to macro structure, i.e. and move pattern in abstract writing.

The next step will be to select and classify abstract writing as the data based on the format and structure of the abstract writing. Based on the selection, the collected data are used as the analysis materials. After the selected data are collected, the next step was to analyze the texts focusing on the macro structure (i.e. Abstract section). It will be analyzed in detail so that it could be seen whether the pattern moves) and linguistic features (i.e. metadiscourse pattern) written by Master's programe already in line with the principles of writing English abstracts. In other words, we would be able to find out the strengths and weaknesses of abstract writing written by Master's program of The State of Surabaya University.


3. Finding and Discussion

The result of the metadiscourse analysis is shown by the total of every words written in each thesis. It can be seen in the table 1.

Table 1. Metadiscourse


CATEGORY	ABSTRACTS				
	1	2	3	4	5
INTERACTIVE					
TRANSITION	17	29	14	23	16
FRAME MARKERS	S In the latest of the latest	000	1		1
ENDHOPHORIC MARKERS	all energies in the				
EVEDENTIALS	B. ITELL BUILDING				
CODE GLASSES				1	1
INTERACTIONAL					6.55
HEDGES	2	1	1		1
BOOSTERS		5	1	3	3
ATTITUDE MARKERS	6	2			1
SELF MENTIONS	Donatou Pro-inc	9	1	3	
ENGAGEMENT MARKERS	terms of their	COST	15000	i sal	

The different numbers above represent every categorizes in the abstract writing. The categories are also shown in the chart below:



Tigure 1. Metadiscourse chart

The next analysis deals with the rhetorical moves applied by the The following is the sample of analysis of an abstract.



All of the data show the two dimensions of metadiscourse model. The first example is as shown in Abstract 1. There were many interactive dimensions used, for example transition, ".... motivation to start teaching, motivation to continue teaching, and motivation to excel in teaching." And in the example is the transition functioning to express relations between main clauses.

The second example is interactional dimension in the sentence "....,this imbalance is actually by design; the real issue may be conveying this to train to better alleviate their concerns." The interactional dimension of the word may is included into hedges category. It functions to withold commitment and open the dialogue.

The next example is "Based on the research result, it is recommended that what motivate teachers the most are those sustainable...". Based on belongs to evedentials which functions to refer to information in the text.

Another example of the interactional dimension in Abstract 1 is "moreover, regarding the Chinese language teacher training program with intensive language program". *Moreover* is an example of markers that functions to express writer's attitude to proposition.

Abstract 2 was dominated by the transition, hedges, and attitude markers. In addition, it also has boostersand self mentions. The example of booster is "for Isocrates, these men were successful Athenian politician such as Solon, Cleisthenes, Themistocles, and Pericles, whom the community generally esteemed to be excellent." *These* is the booster functioning to emphasize certainty or close dialogue. Another example is self mention "trough studying Isocrates' philosophy, we can fully understand the philosophical climate in Athens in the 4th century BCE". We is the self mention used as explicit reference the author. In the sentence, *such as* belongs to code glasses. Its function is to elaborate propositional meanings.

4. Conclusion

Based on the explanation above, metadiscourse and rhetorical moves are very significant in academic writing. Both of them is complementary. It means that metadiscourse has meaning of words category, while the rhetorical moves means how to organize the text. That is one unity in academic writing. It can not be separated. As abstract is significant part of the research, its function is to convey abridgement of the research. There must be metadiscourse and rhetorical

References

Al-Ali, M. N. & Sahawneh, Y. (2011) Rhetorical and Textual Organization of English and Arabic PhD Dissertation Abstracts in Linguistics. SKY Journal of Linguistics, Issue 24, pp. 7-39.

Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse*. New York, NY 10010

Hyland, K. (2002). Options of identity in academic writing. *ELT Journal*, 56(4), 351-358

56(4), 351-358.

Swales, J. M. (1990). Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Swales, J. M. (2004). Research genres: explorations and applications. Cambridge, :Cambridge University Press.

Swales, J.M. & Feak, C.B. (2009). Abstracts and the Writing of Abstracts. The University of Michigan Press.

Biodata

MUHAMMAD SAIBANI WIYANTO lives in Jombang working as a lecturer at STKIP PGRI Jombang. Now, he's taking doctoral program at Surabaya State University majoring on Linguistics. He had been to US for short course namely Sandwich-like program in 2013.