

SEKOLAH TINGGI KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN STKIP PGRI JOMBANG

Jl. Pattimura III/20 Telp. (0321) 861319 - 854319 Fax. (0321) 854319 Jombang

PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN EKONOMI

TERAKREDITASI :
PROGRAM STUDI :
TERAKREDITASI :

SK/BAN-PT. No. 1521/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/VI/2018 PENDIDIKAN PANCASILA DAN KEWARGANEGARAAN SK/BAN-PT. No. 1133/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/X/2015 PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA

PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA
TERAKREDITASI : SK/BAN-PT. No.0259/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/IV/2016

PROGRAM STUDI
TERAKREDITASI
PROGRAM STUDI
TERAKREDITASI
PROGRAM STUDI

TERAKREDITASI

PENDIDIKAN BAHASA DAN SASTRA INDONESIA SK/BAN-PT No. 1694/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/VIII/2016

PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

SK/BAN-PT. No.1262/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/XII/2015 PENDIDIKAN JASMANI DAN KESEHATAN SK/BAN-PT. No.1189/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/VII/2016

SURAT KETERANGAN Nomor:7292/7.088/KL/2018

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama

: Dr. Masruchan, M.Pd.

NIK

: 0104770032

Jabatan

: Kepala Bagian IT

Menerangkan bahwa artikel ilmiah dengan judul:

Using Valsiner's Zone Theory to Identify The Forms of Students' Pseudo Responses
In Mathematics Teaching Process

Karya: 1. Jauhara Dian Nurul Iffah

2. Akbar Sutawidjaja

3. Cholis Sa'dijah

4. Subanji

Bebas plagiasi sesuai dengan hasil pemeriksaan tingkat keunikan sebesar 93% yang dapat dilihat pada URL: https://goo.gl/VQYCCv

Demikian keterangan ini kami buat, untuk dapat dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Mengetahui, Ketua

Dr. Munawaroh, M.Kes. NIP, 196411251991032001 Jombang, 2 Agustus 2018 Menyetujui, Kepala Bagian IT

Dr. Masruchan, M.Pd., NIK. 0104770032

PLAGIARISMA

93% Unique

Total 32467 chars, 4949 words, 258 unique sentence(s).

<u>Custom Writing Services</u> - Paper writing service you can trust. Your assignment is our priority! Papers ready in 3 hours! Proficient writing: top academic writers at your service 24/7! Receive a premium level paper!

STORE YOUR DOCUMENTS IN THE CLOUD - 1GB of private storage for free on our new file hosting!

Results	Query	Domains (original links)
Unique	2 Mathematics Education Study Program, Universitas Negeri Malang, indonesia	-
Unique	Teachers' actions during class could lead to different responses from students	-
Unique	However, those actions are not always well accepted by students	-
<u>Unique</u>	Data were collected by interviewing teachers and students	-
<u>Unique</u>	The teaching process was observed through video recording	-
Unique	The result showed that P-PA was dominant on the student with low math skill	-
1 results	Key words: Valsiner's zone theory, mathematics teaching, pseudo promote action (P-PA)	files.eric.ed.gov
Unique	This occurs in cognitive, affective, and psychomotor aspects	-
Unique	Students interact with their surroundings in order to acquire new information and experience	-
<u>Unique</u>	Hence, through teaching and learning process, students would change for the better	-
<u>Unique</u>	Suherman (2003) proposed two kinds of learning: learning to accept and learning to find	-
Unique	In learning to Corresponding author	-
1 results	Thus, they would only memorize the given concept	files.eric.ed.gov
1 results	Students would not only memorize, but also have meaningful learning	files.eric.ed.gov

1 results	they will learn the concept developed in another context	files.eric.ed.gov
Unique	Students construct mathematical knowledge and the discourse of norms in relation to daily practices	-
1 results	Whatever students learn mostly depends on their teachers' experience in teaching	files.eric.ed.gov
1 results	In order to achieve high-quality mathematics education, teachers need to:	files.eric.ed.gov
Unique	Deeply understand the field they teach	-
Unique	These are not always well accepted by students	-
Unique	Teachers implement some procedures during teaching process	-
Unique	However, they are not always categorized into ZPA	-
Unique	Additionally, there is another condition, referred to as pseudo response (pseudo promote action/P-PA)	-
Unique	Some studies on teachers' ZPA had been conducted	-
<u>Unique</u>	Teacher's promote action described earlier would result in students' various responses	-
<u>Unique</u>	Such responses could not be separated from teacher's promotion action	-
<u>Unique</u>	It could be shown in three forms as follows:	-
3 results	METHODOLOGY This study is a descriptive qualitative research	amiemt-journal.com ashm-journal.com prezi.com
<u>Unique</u>	Next, the author took the teacher P-PA as the focus of an in-depth examination	-
<u>Unique</u>	This plan includes teaching materials, methods and teaching scenario that were implemented	-
<u>Unique</u>	Besides categorizing, the researcher analyzed under which condition the students might show such responses	-
<u>Unique</u>	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION The teacher taught the first grade student to identify plane elements	-
<u>Unique</u>	The only plane identified classically was rectangle	-
Unique	Whereas, the other planes were provided, identified, and ultimately presented in groups	-
Unique	Subsequently, the teacher presented the material that was discussed for the day	-
Unique	She asked the students to mention the features of rectangle	-
<u>Unique</u>	It was further investigated that each angle was 90°C	-
<u>Unique</u>	Furthermore, the teacher asked the students to identify the symmetry fold of the paper	-

Unique	There were two folded symmetries	-
Unique	It was rotated up to 180°C and 360°C	-
Unique	The teacher went on to the formulation of circumference and area of the rectangle	-
Unique	However, the subject directly provided some problems for the formulation of the rectangle area	-
Unique	The subject asked them to note the material given as well	-
Unique	The teaching process conducted is shown in Figure	-
Unique	During observation, the author signed that the promote Iffah et al	-
Unique	747 action on the indicator was not always conducted by the subject	-
Unique	The category might be in the form of acceptance, rejection, or pseudo	-
Unique	The researcher merely focused on the students' pseudo promote action (P-PA)	-
Unique	It seemed that P-PA was apparently on number 1, 2, 5, and	-
Unique	This was categorized into P-PA for the student with low math skill	-
Unique	First, you need to find the definition of this plane	-
Unique	Then, you need to identify its features	-
Unique	Third, we seek to find the circumference and the area of this plane	-
Unique	For instance, I name this plane as ABCD	-
Unique	L: (keep silent) (Pictures 1 and 2)	-
Unique	Post-teaching interview with the students A: This is long-square, isn't it	-
Unique	L: Because both its top and bottom sides are all long	-
Unique	L: Both the right and left sides as well	-
Unique	A: Do you mean the length is equal or else	-
Unique	It also showed that L gave pseudo response toward the subject's/teacher's promote action	-
Unique	Structure of the teaching process	-
Unique	The subject's PA1 was apparent	-

<u>Unique</u>	asking the students to explore their knowledge through Picture	-
Unique	the teacher's given prerequisite questions/previous Picture	-
Unique	The subject's PA2 was apparent	-
Unique	Teaching recording S: And for today, we have come into long square	-
Unique	So, today we are going to discuss long-square	-
<u>Unique</u>	Ok, what can you see on long-square	-
Unique	I am sure you know the shape of long-square	-
Unique	L: (the student kept silent) (Pictures 3 and 4)	-
Unique	Post-teaching interview with student A: Where are the angles of long-square	-
Unique	The subject's PA5 was apparent	-
<u>Unique</u>	L: These are the angles A: So, these all are the angles	-
<u>Unique</u>	whereas, in the interview, L was able to show some features of identified rectangle	-
<u>Unique</u>	This showed the L gave pseudo response to the subject's promote action	-
<u>Unique</u>	Thus, the subject's PA was categorized into P-PA	-
<u>Unique</u>	however, it got pseudo response from the student with low math skill	-
<u>Unique</u>	Teaching process recording A: Note this first	-
<u>Unique</u>	(the students wrote)(Pictures 5 and 6)	-
<u>Unique</u>	Post-teaching interview with the student A: The teacher had written this material yesterday, right	-
<u>Unique</u>	L: Yes, I did A: did you write all	-
<u>Unique</u>	A: Why did not you complete your writing	-
<u>Unique</u>	I wanted to complete the task but the time was up	-
<u>Unique</u>	The subject asked the students to note the concept presented on the board	-
<u>Unique</u>	The subject's PA6 was apparent	-
<u>Unique</u>	did not complete it because her time was up	-

<u>Unique</u>	L noted on her book but it was not completed	-
<u>Unique</u>	She did the instruction but not completely	-
<u>Unique</u>	This PA got pseudo response from the students with moderate and low math skills	-
<u>Unique</u>	M: (kept silent) S: [A=[] B=[] C=[]	-
<u>Unique</u>	I will take this, a long- square	-
<u>Unique</u>	Here, how large is the angle of long-square	-
Unique	Post-teaching process interview A: Ok, what about the angle	-
<u>Unique</u>	M: It is at the corner A: How many are the angles	-
<u>Unique</u>	M: it is 4 A: Ok, how big is it	-
<u>Unique</u>	M:90°C A: How could you know that it is 90	-
<u>Unique</u>	The subject's PA6 was apparent	-
<u>Unique</u>	Post-teaching interview A:Do you know what the fold symmetry is	-
<u>Unique</u>	L: It is folded A: How does make it folded	-
<u>Unique</u>	L: These, and these are same in length	-
<u>Unique</u>	A: Are these the same in length	-
<u>Unique</u>	L: Yes A: So, where is another one	-
<u>Unique</u>	The results of the recording and the interview showed Iffah et al	-
<u>Unique</u>	However, she could answer, although not completely, the question during the interview	-
Unique	and when the student just partly did the subject's instruction due to limited time	-
Unique	The result of the analysis earlier mentioned is presented in Figure	-
<u>Unique</u>	In addition, she was more dominant in accepting the subject's PA	-
Unique	This was related to her low skill, her level of courage and motivation	-
Unique	The result showed that not all PAs were apparently on the subject's action	-
Unique	It indicated that the subject's ZPA referring to some or aggregate PA emerged	-

<u>Unique</u>	P-PA was not apparent to all the students either	-
Unique	It was only apparent to the students with low and moderate math skills	-
Unique	This was more dominant on the student with low math skill	-
Unique	The teaching process conducted here had both advantages and limitations	-
Unique	CONFLICT OF INTERESTS The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.752 Educ	-
Unique	The structure of the subject's P-PA	-
Unique	REFERENCES Blanton M, Westbrook S, Carter G (2005)	-
Unique	Using valsiner's zone theory to interpret Teaching practices in mathematics and science Classrooms	-
Unique	A sociocultural analysis of learning to teach	-
Unique	Goos Merrilyn, Dole Shelley, Makar Katie (2007)	-
24 results	Designing Professional Development to Support Teachers' Learning in Complex Environments	files.eric.ed.gov researchgate.net citeseerx.ist.psu.edu connection.ebscohost.com scholar.google.com link.springer.com journals.sagepub.com emeraldinsight.com deepdyve.com academia.edu
Unique	Teacher professional identities and the integration of technology into secondary school mathematics	-
<u>Unique</u>	In: Australian Association for Research in Education conference proceedings 2008	-
Unique	AARE 2008 International Education Research Conference, Brisbane, Qld, (1-15)	-
1 results	30- November - 4 December 2008	ntu.edu.sg
Unique	Sociocultural Perspectives on Research With Mathematics teachers: A Zone Theory Approach	-
Unique	Exploring numeracy teacher identity: an adaptation of valsiner's zone theory	-
<u>Unique</u>	In: Joint AARE 2013 Conference Proceedings	-
Unique	Hussein MA, Monaghan J, Threlfall J (2011)	-
Unique	Extending Valsiner's Zone Theory to Theorise Student-Teacher Development	-
Unique) Proceedings of the British Society for Research into Learning Mathe	-
<u>Unique</u>	Iffah JDN, Sutawidjaja A, Sa'dijah C, Subanji (2016)	-

<u>Unique</u>	Teacher's Rejected Promote Action (R-PA) for Mathematics Teaching Practice in Class, IOSR	-
<u>Unique</u>	Factors that Influence the Understanding of Good Mathematics Teaching	-
<u>Unique</u>	Nadeem M, Rana MS, Lone AH, Maqbool S, Naz K, Ali A (2011)	-
130,000 results	National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000)	sciepub.com citeseerx.ist.psu.edu connectedmath.msu.edu sciepub.com jwilson.coe.uga.edu worldcat.org nctm.org lamath.org ascd.org gram.edu
21 results	Principles and standards for school mathematics	nctm.org en.wikipedia.org amazon.com amazon.com math.arizona.edu en.wikipedia.org ascd.org jstor.org emints.org nctm.org
2 results	Pendekatan Kontekstual dalam Pembelajaran Matematika	judulskripsita.com judulskripsita.com
<u>Unique</u>	Bandung: Disdik Provinsi Jawa Barat [Contextual Approach in Mathematics Learning	-
<u>Unique</u>	Bandung: West Java Provincial Education Office] Undang-Undang RI No	-
<u>Unique</u>	20 Tahun 2003 tentang Sistem pendidikan Nasional [Law No	-
<u>Unique</u>	20 Year 2003 on National Education System]	-
Unique	Culture and the development of children's action: A theory for human development (2nd ed	-
<u>Unique</u>	Matematika sekolah dasar dan menengah	-
23 results	Elementary and middle school math	hmhco.com aaamath.com amazon.com districalc.com amazon.com dreambox.com us.mathletics.com mathnasium.com lbartman.com teacher-support-force.com
<u>Unique</u>	Psychology teaching, Yogyakarta: Media Abadi]	-
1 results	Sociomathematical norms, argumentation, and autonomy in mathematics	scielo.org.ve
Unique	744-753, 10 August, 2017 DOI: 10.5897/ERR2016.3098 Article Number: CD3BEE965393 ISSN 1990-3839 Copyright © 2017	-
1 results	, Akbar Sutawidjaja 2 , Cholis Sa'dijah 2 and Subanji 2 1 Mathematics Education Study	files.eric.ed.gov
<u>Unique</u>	Accepted 3 March, 2017 Various methods of teaching had been implemented to create an	-
2 results	Valsiner suggested a theory on a set of teachers' actions for promoting students' response	files.eric.ed.gov eric.ed.gov
<u>Unique</u>	The characteristics of the teacher's ZPA were not imbedded, indicating that the students could	-

<u>Unique</u>	This study aims to identify the forms of promote action which had pseudo responses	-
Unique	The subject of this study was a novice teacher and three students with high,	-
<u>Unique</u>	This was apparent when she was silent, behaving as if she did not pay	-
<u>Unique</u>	She partly followed the teacher's instruction, and got answers from her classmates to complete	-
Unique	INTRODUCTION Learning is a process of acquiring insights and experience to change people's behavior	-
<u>Unique</u>	Learning is a complex activity which results in students having skill, knowledge, attitude, and	-
<u>Unique</u>	These capabilities are derived from the stimulus provided by the environment and a cognitive	-
Unique	Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License accept, students would only learn by accepting all	-
Unique	However, in learning to find, they would seek to find the concept learned with	-
Unique	Therefore, it is expected that they could have better understanding and apply the concept	-
<u>Unique</u>	Mathematics is necessary for students because it provides them with logical, analytical, systematical, critical,	-
<u>Unique</u>	to make them truly understand the given process from the origin of the concept up	-
Unique	This should make teachers realize their role as motivator and preceptor for students in	-
<u>Unique</u>	Teachers should implement teaching methods which will make students active to achieve teaching and	-
<u>Unique</u>	Mathematics teaching is a process of interaction between teachers and students in a learning	-
<u>Unique</u>	grow and develop optimally and for students to be able to do learning activities effectively	-
<u>Unique</u>	In teaching mathematics, students are made to understand the nature of a number of	-
<u>Unique</u>	Tools are provided for students to understand or explain an information (for example, equation	-
<u>Unique</u>	in mathematical thinking and reasoning to solve new problems and learn new things which students	-
<u>Unique</u>	Some studies showed that whatever teachers teach in class influences students' learning both the	-
1 results	Yackel and Cobb (1996) conducted a research, and found that daily practices and routines	files.eric.ed.gov
<u>Unique</u>	Understand how students learn mathematics, including finding out how their mathematical skill grow individually,	-
<u>Unique</u>	Select tasks and strategies which can improve the quality of their teaching process (NCTM,	-
<u>Unique</u>	745 Various teaching methods had been implemented to create an active and fun teaching	-

<u>Unique</u>	Van de Walle (2002) stated that teachers should change their approaches from teacher-centered to	-
2 results	Goos and Bennison (2012) suggested that a teaching process needs to be interactive between	researchgate.net files.eric.ed.gov
Unique	are influenced by several factors, including teachers' educational background, teaching experience, educational qualification, and class	-
1 results	physical environment and other people: Zone of Free Movement (ZFM), representing the environmental constraint which	files.eric.ed.gov
<u>Unique</u>	and Zone of Promoted Action (ZPA), a set of activities promoted by adults to	-
<u>Unique</u>	Valsiner (1997) claimed that ZPA is a set of activities, things and area in	-
<u>Unique</u>	On the other hands, Blanton (2005) argued that this concept of ZPA refers to	-
<u>Unique</u>	actions (promote actionPA) provided for students Goos (2005) defined ZPA as a set of	-
<u>Unique</u>	Some teaching methods included in ZPA are referred to activities which make students act	-
<u>Unique</u>	This ZPA was not imbedded, which could either be accepted (accepted promote action/A-PA), or	-
<u>Unique</u>	act as if they accept their teachers' promotion action, but do not accept their teachers'	-
<u>Unique</u>	It is necessary conducting this study because when students are identified, feedback would be	-
1 results	This present study focuses on P-PA since this condition needs to be identified and	files.eric.ed.gov
<u>Unique</u>	does not mean that such PA would be positively responded to by all pupils in	-
<u>Unique</u>	This could be solved by guiding the students to follow or modify the existing	-
<u>Unique</u>	Teachers can only determine the PA to be implemented based on the level of	-
<u>Unique</u>	If what they implement is far beyond the students' understanding, an optimal development would	-
<u>Unique</u>	student to correct their answer classically written on the board Final activities 15 Teacher directed	-
<u>Unique</u>	teaching objectives, materials, methods, media, and evaluation, the author took some indicators of the form	-
<u>Unique</u>	They include: giving attention, internal process of learning activities such as correlating between concepts,	-
<u>Unique</u>	a particular instruction given by the teacher, participating actively, and paying attention to the teaching	-
<u>Unique</u>	not conducting particular instruction given by the teacher, and doing another activities not related to	-
<u>Unique</u>	promote action (P- PA) apparently by acting as if they accepted the promote action, but	-

Unique The subject of this study was a novice teacher in one of the junior
Unique The novice was categorized based on years of teaching experience which range between Unique The teaching was conducted on first grade students of junior high school, and three Unique This study was conducted by having an interview with the subject based on the Unique After conducting the interview, the subject did the teaching in class and the author Unique This observation focused on every procedure of the teaching which was identified and categorized Unique The study observed the three students with different mathematical skills and collected data in Unique After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching-learning process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses
Unique The teaching was conducted by having an interview with the subject based on the Unique After conducting the interview, the subject did the teaching in class and the author Unique This observation focused on every procedure of the teaching which was identified and categorized Unique The study observed the three students with different mathematical skills and collected data in Unique After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses
Unique After conducting the interview, the subject did the teaching in class and the author Unique This observation focused on every procedure of the teaching which was identified and categorized Unique The study observed the three students with different mathematical skills and collected data in Unique After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching-learning process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses
Unique After conducting the interview, the subject did the teaching in class and the author Unique This observation focused on every procedure of the teaching which was identified and categorized Unique The study observed the three students with different mathematical skills and collected data in Unique After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching-learning process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses
Unique The study observed the three students with different mathematical skills and collected data in Unique After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching-learning process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses
Unique After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching-learning process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses
Unique After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching-learning process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses
Unique The interview done with the subject was to know whether the teaching-learning process succeeded Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses -
Unique This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview Unique The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses -
Unique The interview with each of the three students was conducted to confirm their responses Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses -
Unique The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses -
Unique Data collection was conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview Unique The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses -
Unique The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses -
Unique The result of the pre-teaching interview showed that the teaching was conducted by identifying
Unique The teacher began the teaching process by praying, checking the number of students present,
Unique The subject-matter of the teaching was to define and list the characteristics, circumference and
Unique The teacher utilized a rectangular paper as the medium and named each of its
Unique She began to define rectangle by folding the paper vertically and it was found -
Unique Next, the teacher asked the students to investigate the characteristics of rectangle from its
Unique In addition, the subject also asked the students to identify the rotational symmetry of
Unique Sometimes, in the process of identification, the teacher gave chances for the students to

Unique	Overall, the promote actions apparent were number 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 10, and	-
<u>Unique</u>	Subsequently, the author analyzed the video recording that corresponded to the observation note and	-
Unique	Following the interview, the author determined the category of the subject's promote action based	-
Unique	H as the student with high math skill, M as the student with moderate math	-
Unique	Promote action on number 1 was asking the students to correlate the example provided	-
Unique	The following was the excerpt of the teaching process and the interview with the	-
51 results	Based on the Pictures 1 and 2, the subject seemed to ask about the	docplayer.net en.wikipedia.org scribd.com mirrorservice.org archiveofourown.org bigfootbooksblog.blogspot.com docplayer.net eremita.di.uminho.pt en.wikipedia.org bookrags.com
<u>Unique</u>	L could not clearly correlate the example with the material presented and pretended as	-
<u>Unique</u>	however, when it came to the interview, L could no correctly answer the question	-
<u>Unique</u>	This was revealed after conducting the post-teaching interview, and L admitted that she copied	-
<u>Unique</u>	It showed that the student just followed her friend when correlating the example with	-
<u>Unique</u>	Hence, the PA was also showed that L gave pseudo response toward the 748	-
<u>Unique</u>	This PA got pseudo response from the students with the low math skill as	-
<u>Unique</u>	The following was the excerpt of teaching recording and the interview with the low	-
<u>Unique</u>	L: Its above, under, and beside A: If we use this, where are	-
<u>Unique</u>	As presented in Pictures 3 and 4, the subject asked about the shape of	-
<u>Unique</u>	At that moment, the subject had not present the concept of rectangle yet, hence,	-
<u>Unique</u>	The excerpt of the interview and the teaching recording noted that L seemed quiet	-
Unique	L seemed quiet as if ignoring the subject but she, in fact, was able	-
Unique	Promote action number 5 was asking the student to note and explore the material	-
Unique	The following was the excerpt of the teaching process and the transcript of the	-
Unique	Both the teaching recording and the interview showed that L noted on her book	-

Unique	This showed that L gave pseudo response to the subject's promote action, thus, the	-
Unique	Promote action number 6 was by giving an instruction, The subject asked the student	-
Unique	The following was the results of the teaching recording and the interview with the	-
Unique	The teaching process recording S: Do you know what the angles of the long-square	-
Unique	Besides, if these are all congruent, I'd like to ask you, how is the	-
Unique	S: How could you say that it is 90 o and where did you	-
Unique	be side-by- side (the subject helped the students to prove the large of the	-
Unique	in 90°C The results of the recording and interview showed that the subject directed the	-
Unique	The students were more likely to be quiet and did not respond, as if	-
Unique	However, she mentioned one of the components of rectangle, which was in terms of	-
<u>Unique</u>	This showed that the student gave pseudo response and it was categorized into pseudo	-
<u>Unique</u>	This similar promote action had pseudo response from the student with low math skill	-
<u>Unique</u>	The following was the excerpts of the teaching process recording and the interview with	-
<u>Unique</u>	Teaching recording The student kept quiet and ignored the teacher's direction (Pictures 9 and	-
<u>Unique</u>	L: As like this A: If it is this way, is this the fold	-
<u>Unique</u>	A: These and these are the same, these and these are the same as	-
<u>Unique</u>	751 that the student just kept quiet and ignored the subject's direction, seemed like	-
<u>Unique</u>	At the end of the interview, the student admitted that she just copied her	-
<u>Unique</u>	This showed that the student gave pseudo response toward the subject's promote action, thus,	-
<u>Unique</u>	The following was the structure of the subject's P-PA during the teaching process in	-
<u>Unique</u>	The students showed pseudo responses categorized into P-PA when they kept quiet without showing	-
<u>Unique</u>	or she just followed her friend so the she seemed to give positive response to	-
<u>Unique</u>	This P-PA did not exist for the student with high math skill since she	-
<u>Unique</u>	Based on the chart above, it showed that the student with low math skill	-

Unique	Conclusion This study was conducted with a first grade teacher of Junior High School	-
Unique	P-PA appeared in a condition on which the students kept quiet but, in fact,	-
Unique	They just partly complete the subject's instruction and copied their friend's answers as if	-
Unique	The advantage was this process could make the students identify the features of long-square	-
Unique	However, the subject, in particular, and teachers, in common, need to take consideration of	-
Unique) Proceedings of the 29th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics	-
<u>Unique</u>	AARE 2013: Australian Association for Research in Education Annual international Conference, Adelaide, SA, Australia,	-
Unique	Classroom And School Factors Affecting Mathematics Achievement: a Comparative Study of the US and	-
<u>Unique</u>	Teacher's Competencies and Factors Affecting the Performance of Female Teachers in Bahawalpur (Southern Punjab)	-
<u>Unique</u>	Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah [Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic	-

Top plagiarizing domains: files.eric.ed.gov (13 matches); amazon.com (4 matches); anazon.com (4 matches); numbers; anazon.com (4 matches); anazon.com (4 matches); numbers; anazon.com (4 matches); anazon.com (4 matches); anazon.com (4 matches); anazon.com (1 matches); an

```
Vol. 12(15), pp. 744-753, 10 August, 2017 DOI: 10.5897/ERR2016.3098 Article Number; CD3BEE965393 ISSN 1990-3839 Copyright © 2017 Author/s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academiciourmals.org/ERR Educational Research and Reviews Full Length Research Paper Using Valsiner's zone theory for identifying the forms of students
pseudo responses in mathematics teaching process Jauhara Dian Nurul Iffah 1 *, Akbar Sutawidjaja 2 , Cholis Sa'djiah 2 and Subanji 2 1 Mathematics Education Study Program, Universitas Negeri Malang, indonesia. Accepted 26 November, 2016; Accepted 3 March, 2017 Various
methods of teaching had been implemented to create an active and fun teaching process by teachers for students. Teachers' actions during class could lead to different responses from students. However, those actions are not always well accepted by students. Valsiner suggested a theory on a set of teachers' actions for promoting students' response
  called zone of promotion action (ZPA). The characteristics of the teacher's ZPA were not imbedded, indicating that the students could accept, reject or respond in a pseudo manner. This study aims to identify the forms of promote action which had pseudo responses called teacher's pseudo promote action (P-PA). Data were collected by interviewing
   teachers and students. The teaching process was observed through video recording. The subject of this study was a novice teacher and three students with high, moderate, and low math skills. The result showed that P-PA was dominant on the student with low math skill. This was apparent when she was silent, behaving as if she did not pay any
  attention to her teacher but she actually got the concept. She partly followed the teacher's instruction, and got answers from her classmates to complete the task, so that it seemed as if she understood the concept that was given. Key words: Valsiner's zone theory, mathematics teaching, pseudo promote action (P-PA). INTRODUCTION Learning is a
process of acquiring insights and experience to change people's behavior through interaction with the environment. This occurs in cognitive, affective, and psychomotor aspects. Students interact with their surroundings in order to acquire new information and experience. Learning is a complex activity which results in students having skill, knowledge
       attitude, and values. These capabilities are derived from the stimulus provided by the environment and a cognitive process provided by the teaching and learning to accept and learning to accept and learning to accept and learning to accept and learning to find. In learning to
*Corresponding author. E-mail: jauhara@stkipjb.ac.id. Authors agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License accept, students would only learn by accepting all information their teachers provide. Thus, they would only memorize the given concept. However
 in learning to find, they would seek to find the concept learned with their teachers' guidance. Students would not only memorize, but also have meaningful learning; they will learn the concept developed in another context. Therefore, it is expected that they could have better understanding and apply the concept they learn in real life. Mathematics is
 necessary for students because it provides them with logical, analytical, systematical, critical, and creative thinking abilities and also ability to cooperate. Hence, in teaching mathematics, students should be made to learn by finding in order to make them truly understand the given process from the origin of the concept up to its implementation. This
  should make teachers realize their role as motivator and preceptor for students in learning mathematics. Teachers should implement teaching methods which will make students active to achieve teaching objectives. Mathematics teaching is a process of interaction between teachers and students in a learning environment. It involves
   evolving mindset and logic through various methods for mathematics learning program to grow and develop optimally and for students to be able to do learning activities effectively and efficiently (National Education Law, 2003). In teaching mathematics, students are made to understand the nature of a number of objects. Tools are provided for
students to understand or explain an information (for example, equation or table in mathematical models as the simplicity of word problems). Learning mathematics does not only involve having calculation skill, but also includes being proficient in mathematical thinking and reasoning to solve new problems and learn new things which students might
see in the future. Some studies showed that whatever teachers teach in class influences students' learning both the mathematics concept given and chances to understand mathematics as a discipline (Goos et al., 2007). Yackel and Cobb (1996) conducted a research, and found that daily practices and routines during class play an important role in how
 students understand and learn mathematics. Students construct mathematics with each end in the discourse of norms in relation to daily practices. Whatever students learn mostly depends on their teachers' experience in teaching. In order to achieve high-quality mathematics education, teachers need to: 1. Deeply understand the field they teach 2.
Understand how students learn mathematics, including finding out how their mathematical skill grow individually, and 3. Select tasks and strategies which can improve the quality of their teaching process (NCTM, 2000). Iffah et al. 745 Various teaching methods had been implemented to create an active and fun teaching process. Van de Walle (2002)
 stated that teachers should change their approaches from teacher-centered to students. Teachers' skills in delivering material, managing the teaching process, and controlling students during class are influenced by several
    factors, including teachers' educational background, teaching experience, educational qualification, and class governance (Nadeem et al., 2011; Leong, 2013; Lamb and Fullarton, 2002). These are not always well accepted by students. Valsiner (1997) suggested two zones to explain the development of students' interaction with their physical
     environment and other people; Zone of Free Movement (ZFM), representing the environmental constraint which limits the freedom to act and think; and Zone of Promoted Action (ZPA), a set of activities promoted by adults to promote new skill, Valsiner (1997) claimed that ZPA is a set of activities, things and area in an environment, in which
 individuals' actions are displayed. On the other hands, Blanton (2005) argued that this concept of ZPA refers to a set of activities provided by teachers to make students act in particular ways. Thus, it was stated that ZPA is a set or a number of teachers' actions (promote action /PA) provided for students Goos (2005) defined ZPA as a set of activities
   offered by adults to promote new skills. Teachers implement some procedures during teaching process. However, they are not always categorized into ZPA. Some teaching methods included in ZPA are referred to activities which make students act and behave with the aim to acquire new skills. This ZPA was not imbedded, which could either be
  accepted (accepted promote action/A-PA), or rejected (rejected promote action/R-PA) by students. Additionally, there is another condition, referred to as pseudo response (pseudo promote action/P-PA). It is difficult to see this condition directly during teaching, because with it students act as if they accept their teachers' promotion action, but do not
    accept their teachers' instruction. It is necessary conducting this study because when students are identified, feedback would be obtained, and, thus, would make the teachers to revise their teaching. This present study focuses on P-PA since this condition needs to be identified and is not apparent during the teaching process. Although teachers
   determine the kinds of PA that would be implemented in class, it does not mean that such PA would be positively responded to by all pupils in a class. This could be solved by quiding the students to follow or modify the existing PA. Teachers can only determine the PA to be implemented based on the level of students' development in the teaching
process. If what they implement is far beyond the students' understanding, an optimal development would not happen. 746 Educ. Res. Rev. Table 1. Form of teacher's promotion action Initial activities 1 Teacher asked the students to relate a given example to the presented material 2 Teacher asked the students to recall the
 insight through question on prerequisite material/previous material 3 With the initial description of material, teacher asked the students to identify the scope of the material 4 Teacher asked the students to prepare particular tools for learning, such as ruler, compass, and arc ruler Main activities 5 Teacher asked the students to note and explore the
 material written on the board 6 Teacher asked the students to formulate the concept discussed through particular given direction 7 With provided information, teacher asked the students to represent the mathematical object and concept in the form of variable, equation, graphic, diagram, and geometric, etc 8 Teacher asked the students to keep the
 concept learned 9 Teacher asked the students to apply the concept learned on the provided problems 10 Teacher gave chances for the students to ask questions 11 Teacher asked the students to solve the problems provided by the teacher 12 Teacher asked the students to make use the teaching learning media such as task-sheets, modeling tools,
  and other mathematical tools 13 Teacher facilitated the students to discuss the given problems 14 Teacher asked the students to correct their answer classically written on the board Final activities 15 Teacher directed the students to conclude the given material through related questions Processing standart, 2007; processing standart, 2013; Goos,
 2007; Hussein 2001; Winkel, 2007; Goos, 2005; Goos, 2005; Goos, 2012; NCTM, 2000; Blanton, 2005; Goos, 2012; Iffah, 2016. Some studies on teachers' ZPA had been conducted. Based on the results of those studies coupled with the teaching components consisting of teaching objectives, materials, methods, media, and evaluation, the
 author took some indicators of the form of teacher's promotion action for this study as shown in Table 1. Teacher's promote earlier would result in students' various responses. They include: giving attention, internal process of learning activities such as correlating between concepts, solving problems, answering teachers' questions,
    manipulating mathematical models, representing mathematical objects, and concluding information acquired. Such responses could not be separated from teacher's promotion action. It could be shown in three forms as follows: 1. The students accepted the teacher's promote action or accepted promote action (A-PA) by conducting a particular
    instruction given by the teacher, participating actively, and paving attention to the teaching process, 2. The students rejected promote action or rejected promote action (R-PA) apparently by not conducting particular instruction given by the teacher, and doing another activities not related to the teaching material. 3. The students
 responded to the teacher's promote action in a pseudo manner or pseudo promote action (P-PA) apparently by acting as if they accepted the promote action, but it was actually not. From the above, the author only focused on identifying the students' pseudo response to the teacher's promote action (P-PA). METHODOLOGY This study is a descriptive
qualitative research. The author described the teaching process conducted by a teacher and identified which teaching procedures were categorized into the teacher P-PA as the focus of an in-depth examination. The subject of this study was a novice teacher in one of the junior high schools in Jombang, East Java,
 Indonesia. The novice was categorized based on years of teaching experience which range between 1 to 5 years. The teaching was conducted on first grade students of junjor high school, and three students with high, moderate and low math skills responded to the teaching. This study was conducted by having an interview with the subject based or
     the teaching plan. This plan includes teaching materials, methods and teaching scenario that were implemented. After conducting the interview, the subject did the teaching in class and the author observed the process. This observation focused on every procedure of the teaching materials, methods and teaching which was identified and categorized into PA the author had
predetermined. The study observed the three students with different mathematical skills and collected data in the form of their responses categorizing them into A-PA, R-PA, or P-PA. After completing the teaching process, the author had an interview with the teacher and the students on the teaching process that had just been conducted. The interview
   done with the subject was to know whether the teaching process succeeded or not, and to confirm the subjects' action during the process. This was conducted to know if the teacher would likely change the teaching procedures in class, thus, it would not correspond to the initial planning. The interview with each of the three students was
  conducted to confirm their responses or action during the teaching process. The result of that observation was still assumption, and it would be confirmed after having the interview, whether it was A-PA, R-PA, or P-PA. Besides categorizing, the researcher analyzed under which condition the students might show such responses. Data collection was
 conducted through observation which involved field note, teaching recording, and interview with the teacher and students as well. The author focused on identifying the subject's pseudo promote action (P-PA) based on responses gathered in the form of the students' behavior with high, moderate, and low math skills. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION The
teacher taught the first grade student to identify plane elements. The result of the pre-teaching interview showed that the teaching was conducted by identifying and implementing question-answer technique. The only plane identified classically was rectangle. Whereas, the other planes were provided, identified, and ultimately presented in groups. The
teacher began the teaching process by praying, checking the number of students present, and reviewing the previous material taught, which was triangular sides. Subsequently, the teacher presented the material that was discussed for the day. It was rectangular. She asked the students to mention the features of rectangle. The subject-matter of the
teaching was to define and list the characteristics, circumference and area of rectangles. The teacher utilized a rectangular paper as the medium and named each of its angles. She began to define rectangle by folding the paper vertically and it was found that it had four congruent angles and two congruent sides. Next, the teacher asked the students to
   investigate the characteristics of rectangle from its diagonal and determine which angles face each other. It was further investigated that each angle was 90°C. Furthermore, the teacher asked the students to identify the
   rotational symmetry of the paper, and it resulted in two rotational symmetries. It was rotated up to 180°C and 360°C. The teacher went on to the formulation of circumference and area of the rectangle, However, the subject directly provided some problems for the formulation of the rectangle area. Sometimes, in the process of identification, the
teacher gave chances for the students to ask what they did not understand in the material. The subject asked them to note the material given as well. The teaching process conducted is shown in Figure 1. During observation, the author signed that the promote [ffah et al. 747 action on the indicator was not always conducted by the subject. Overall, the
   promote actions apparent were number 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 10, and 12, Subsequently, the author analyzed the video recording that corresponded to the observation note and was used as content of arranging questions for the interview. Following the interview, the author determined the category of the subject's promote action based on the students'
responses. The category might be in the form of acceptance, rejection, or oseudo. The researcher merely focused on the students' oseudo promote action (P-PA). It seemed that P-PA was apparently on number 1, 2, 5, and 6. The author showed the result of the analysis with code S as the subject/teacher, H as the student with high math skill. M as the
student with moderate math skill. Las the student with low math skill. Las the student with low math skill. The following was the except of the teaching process and the interview with
the student: Teaching process recording S: This is for long-square. This is for long-square. This is the plane, ok. First, you need to find the definition of this plane. Then, you need to identify its features. Third, we seek to find the circumference and the area of this plane. For instance, I name this plane as ABCD. So, what is long-square? L: (keep silent) (Pictures 1 and 2). Post-
teaching interview with the students A: This is engast are all long, square, isn't it? Why is it called long- square? L: Because both its top and bottom sides are all long. A: Is this long? L: Both the right and left sides as well. A: Do you mean the length is equal or else? L: The length is equal or else? L: The length is equal.
 shape of long-square by displaying a paper. L could not clearly correlate the example with the material presented and pretended as if she understood the content; however, when it came to the interview, L could no correctly answer the question given or merely answered without any understanding of the material. This was revealed after conducting
 the post-teaching interview, and L admitted that she copied her classmate's answer. It showed that the student just followed her friend when correlating the example with the material given. It also showed that L gave pseudo response toward the subject's/teacher's promote action. Hence, the PA was also showed that L gave pseudo response toward
the 748 Educ. Res. Rev. Figure 1. Structure of the teaching process. Picture 1. The subject's PA1 was apparent. Asking the students to explore their knowledge through Picture 2. SR's response toward PA1. the teacher's given prerequisite questions/previous Picture 3. The subject's PA2 was apparent. Picture 4. SR's response toward PA2. material. This
PA got pseudo response from the students with the low math skill as well. The following was the excerpt of teaching recording and the interview with the low math skill student. Teaching recording S. And for today, we have come into long square. So, today we are going to discuss long-square? In am sure you know
 the shape of long-square. L: (the student kept silent) (Pictures 3 and 4). Post-teaching interview with student A: Where are the angles A: So, these all are the angles. How many are
 they? L: there are 4. As presented in Pictures 3 and 4, the subject asked about the shape of rectangle. At that moment, the subject asked about the shape of rectangle yet, hence, the students used their existing knowledge. The excerpt of the interview and the teaching recording noted that L seemed quiet as if ignoring the subject; whereas, in
the interview, L was able to show some features of identified rectangle. L seemed quiet as if ignoring the subject but she, in fact, was able to see the correlation between the material and the previous one. This showed the L gave pseudo response to the subject's promote action. Thus, the subject's PA was categorized into P.PA. Promote action numbe
   5 was asking the student to note and explore the material presented on board; however, it got pseudo response from the student with low math skill. The following was the excerpt of the teaching process and the transcript of the interview with the student. Teaching process recording A: Note this first! (the students wrote)(Pictures 5 and 6). Post-
   teaching interview with the student A: The teacher had written this material yesterday, right? Did you write all? L: Not yet. I did not write the task yet. A: Why did not you complete your writing? L: I was confused. I wanted to complete the task but the time was up. The subject asked the students to note the
  concept presented on the board. Both the teaching recording and the interview showed that L noted on her book but she 750 Educ. Res. Rev. Picture 6. SRP1's response toward PA5. Picture 7. The subject's PA6 was apparent. did not complete it because her time was up. L noted on her book but it was not completed. She did the instruction but not
 completely. This showed that L gave pseudo response to the subject's promote action, thus, the PA was categorized into P-PA. Promote action number 6 was by giving an instruction, The subject asked the student to formulate the given concept. This PA got pseudo response from the students with moderate and low math skills. The following was the
results of the teaching recording and the interview with the moderate math skill student. The teaching process recording S: Do you know what the angles of the long-square are? M: (kept silent) S: \( \bar{A} = \bar{B} = \bar{B} = \bar{C} = \bar{B} \). Besides, if these are all congruent, I'd like to ask you, how is the degree of each angle? M:90°C Picture 8. SSP1's response toward PA6. S
 How could you say that it is 90 o and where did you get that? You may prove with this way. I will take this, a long-square? M: (keep silent) S: There are 4, right?, when I fold this, it will be side-by-side ... (the subject helped the students to prove the large of the long-square? and 8). Post-
 teaching process interview A: O.K. what about the angle? M: It is at the corner A: How many are the angles? M: It is at the corner A: How many are the angles? M: It is 4 A: O.K. how big is it? M:90°C A: How could you know that it is 90 0 ? M: Because it is all 360 0 and it is divided by 4 resulting in 90°C. The results of the recording and interview showed that the subject directed the students to formulate
  the angles of rectangle. The students were more likely to be quiet and did not respond, as if ignoring the subject. However, she mentioned one of the components of rectangle, which was in terms of identifying the degree of the rectangle angles, in the interview. This showed that the student gave pseudo response and it was categorized into pseudo
    promote action. This similar promote action had pseudo response from the student with low math skill as well. The following was the excerpts of the teaching process recording and the interview with the student. Teaching recording The student kept quiet and ignored the teacher's direction (Pictures 9 and 10). Picture 9. The subject's PA6 was
apparent. Picture 10. SRP1's response toward PA6. Post-teaching interview A:Do you know what the fold symmetry is? L: It is folded A: How does make it folded? L: As like this A: If it is this way, is this the fold symmetry? Is it just simply folded? L: yes, it is the same. A: Which one is the same? L: These, and these are same
in length. A: Are these the same in length? L: Yes A: So, where is another one? L: These are same as well. A: These and these are the same as well. It is for the fold symmetry. The results of the recording and the interview showed liffah et al. 751 that the student just kept quiet and ignored the subject's direction, seemed
like to be daydreaming and had no concentration at all. However, she could answer, although not completely, the question during the interview. At the end of the interview, the student admitted that she just copied her friend's answer. This showed that the student gave pseudo response toward the subject's promote action, thus, it was categorized into
    P-PA. The following was the structure of the subject's P-PA during the features of long-square Figure 2. The students showed pseudo responses categorized into P-PA when they kept quiet without showing any expression, when the student copied her friend's answer in order to answer the researcher's
   questions or she just followed her friend so the she seemed to give positive response to the instruction; and when the student just partly did the subject's instruction due to limited time. The result of the analysis earlier mentioned is presented in Figure 3. This P-PA did not exist for the student with high math skill since she could clearly showed her
    acceptance and rejection of the teacher's action. In addition, she was more dominant in accepting the subject's PA. Based on the chart above, it showed that the student with low math skill was more likely to give pseudo response toward the subject. This was related to her low skill, her level of courage and motivation. Conclusion This study was
 conducted with a first grade teacher of Junior High School and three students with high, moderate, and low math skills. The result showed that not all PAs were apparently on the subject's ZPA referring to some or aggregate PA emerged. P-PA was not apparent to all the students either. It was only apparent to the
    students with low and moderate math skills. This was more dominant on the student with low math skill. P-PA appeared in a condition on which the students kept quiet but, in fact, understood the material given. They just partly complete the subject's instruction and copied their friend's answers as if they positively respond to their teacher. The
teaching process conducted here had both advantages and limitations. The advantage was this process could make the students' condition while teaching since they do
 not always show their true feelings. CONFLICT OF INTERESTS The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.752 Educ. Res. Rev. Figure 2. The structure of the subject's P-PA. Figure 3. Result of the analysis. REFERENCES Blanton M, Westbrook S, Carter G (2005). Using valsiner's zone theory to interpret Teaching practices in mathematics and
   science Classrooms. J. Math. Teacher Educ. 8(1):5-33. Goos M (2005). A sociocultural analysis of learning to teach. In: H.L. Chick and J.L. Vincent (Eds.) Proceedings of the 1nternational Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education, (Vol. 3, pp. 49-56). Melbourne: PME. Goos Merrilyn, Dole Shelley, Makar Katie (2007).
 Designing Professional Development to Support Teachers' Learning in Complex Environments, Mathe. Teacher Educ. Dev. 8:23-47. Goos M, Bennison AB (2009). Teacher professional identities and the integration of technology into secondary school mathematics. In: Australian Association for Research in Education conference proceedings 2008. AARE
2008 International Education Research Conference, Brisbane, Old, (1-15). 30- November - 4 December 2008. Goos M (2012). Sociocultural Perspectives on Research With Mathematics teachers: A Zone Theory Approach. ZDM Mathe. Educ. 45(4):521-533. Goos M, Bennison A (2013). Exploring numeracy teacher identity: an adaptation of valsiner's zone
theory. In: Joint AARE 2013 Conference Proceedings. AARE 2013: Australian Association for Research in Education Annual International Conference, Adelaide, SA, Australia, (1-10). 1-5 December, 2013. Hussein MA, Monaghan J, Threlfall J (2011). Extending Valsiner's Zone Theory to Theorise Student-Teacher Development. In: Smith, C (Ed.) Proceedings.
     of the British Society for Research into Learning Mathe. 31(1):1-6. [ffah JDN, Sutawidjaja A, Sa'djjah C, Subanji (2016). Teacher's Rejected Promote Action (R-PA) for Mathematics Teaching Practice in Class, IOSR J. Res. Method in Educ. 6(5):12-18. Lamb S, Fullarton S (2002). Classroom And School Factors Affecting Mathematics Achievement: a
     Comparative Study of the US and Australia Using TIMSS. Austr. J. Educ. 46(2):154-171. Leong K (2013). Factors that Influence the Understanding of Good Mathematics Teaching. Eurasia J. Mathe. Sci. Technol. Educ. 9(3):319-328. Nadeem M, Rana MS, Lone AH, Maqbool S, Naz K, Ali A (2011). Teacher's Competencies and Factors Affecting the
Performance of Female Teachers in Bahawalpur (Southern Punjab) Pakistan. Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci. 2(19):217-222. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000). Principles and standards for school mathematics. Reston, VA: Author Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia nomor 41 Tahun 2007 tentang Standar Proses untuk Sekolah
   Dasar dan Menengah [Regulation of the Minister of National Education of the Republic of Indonesia number 41 of 2007 on Processing Standards for Primary and Secondary Schools] Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia nomor 65 Tahun 2013 tentang Standar Proses Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah [Regulation of the
Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 65 Year 2013 on Standards for Primary and Secondary Education Process), Suherman E (2003), Pendekatan Kontekstual dalam Pembelaiaran Matematika, Bandung: Disdik Provinsi lawa Barat [Contextual Approach in Mathematics Learning, Bandung: West lava Provincial Education
  Office] Undang-Undang RI No. 20 Tahun 2003 tentang Sistem pendidikan Nasional [Law No. 20 Year 2003 on National Education System]. Iffah et al. 753 Valsiner | (1997). Culture and the development of children's action: A theory for human development (2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons. Van de Walle (2002). Matematika sekolah dasar dan
menengah. Erlangga. Jakarta. [Van de Walle, John A. (2002). Elementary and middle school math. Erland. Jakarta]. Winkel WS (2007). Psikologi pengajaran. Yogyakarta: Media abadi. [Winkel, W.S. (2007). Psychology teaching, Yogyakarta: Media Abadi]. Yackel E, Cobb P (1996). Sociomathematical norms, argumentation, and autonomy in mathematics
```

I. Res. Math. Educ. 27(4):458-477.