



SEKOLAH TINGGI KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN **STKIP PGRI JOMBANG**

Jalan Patimura III/20, Telp. (0321) 861319-854319 Jombang - 61418

PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN EKONOMI
TERAKREDITASI : SK/BAN-PT NO. 1521/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/VI/2018
PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN PANCASILA DAN KEWARGANEGARAAN
TERAKREDITASI : SK/BAN-PT NO. 1133/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/X/2015
PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA
TERAKREDITASI : SK/BAN-PT NO. 0259/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/IV/2016

PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN BAHASA DAN SASTRA INDONESIA
TERAKREDITASI : SK/BAN-PT NO. 1694/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/VIII/2016
PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
TERAKREDITASI : SK/BAN-PT NO. 1262/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/XII/2015
PROGRAM STUDI : PENDIDIKAN JASMANI DAN KESEHATAN
TERAKREDITASI : SK/BAN-PT NO. 1189/SK/BAN-PT/Akred/S/VI/2016

SURAT KETERANGAN **No. ~~WIS~~ 7.088/ KL/ 2018**

Yang bertandatangan di bawah ini:

Nama : **Dr. MASRUCHAN, M.Pd.**
NIK : **0104770032**
Jabatan : **Kepala Bagian IT STKIP PGRI Jombang**

Menerangkan bahwa artikel ilmiah berjudul:

Test Taking Strategy on Student Reading Test

Karya: 1. Muhammad Farhan Rafi
2. Aang Fatihul Islam

Bebas plagiasi sesuai dengan hasil pemeriksaan tingkat keunikan **98%** yang dapat diakses pada
URL: <https://goo.gl/7vdczw>.

Demikian Keterangan ini kami buat, untuk dapat digunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Mengetahui,
Ketua STKIP PGRI Jombang

Dr. Munawaroh, M.Kes.
NIP. 196411251991032001

Jombang, 20 September 2018
Menyetujui,
Kepala Bagian IT

Dr. Masruchan, M.Pd.
NIK. 0104770032

98% Unique

Total 26815 chars, 3843 words, 166 unique sentence(s).

Custom Writing Services - *Paper writing service you can trust. Your assignment is our priority! Papers ready in 3 hours! Proficient writing: top academic writers at your service 24/7! Receive a premium level paper!*

STORE YOUR DOCUMENTS IN THE CLOUD - *1GB of private storage for free on our new file hosting!*

Results	Query	Domains (original links)
Unique	Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 http://ojs	-
Unique	This study was with Principal Component Analysis (PCA), and descriptive	-
Unique	It uses questionnaire and test as its instrument	-
Unique	Students learn their knowledgethrough reading	-
Unique	They get information by reading books and other printed media	-
Unique	Discourse-structure awareness is often associated with a reading strategy or set of strategies (e	-
Unique	It causes dilemma for the development of second language reading ability	-
Unique	Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 http://ojs	-
Unique	He added that these strategies can either be a short move (e	-
Unique	g., reading the whole text after reading the questions)	-
Unique	He added that these strategies can be a short move (e	-
Unique	g., reading the whole text after reading the questions)	-
Unique	Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 http://ojs	-
Unique	The respondents were asked to complete three research instruments	-

Unique	the reading comprehension test, test- taking strategy Questionnaire and Respondent's Background Questionnaire	-
Unique	Test-taking strategies which consist of 28 items	-
Unique	The questionnaire of Test-taking strategy is modified as "Yes" or "No" response choices	-
Unique	Then the respondent also completed the same questionnaire with a 5 point Likert scale	-
Unique	The questionnaire was translated to Indonesian to help participants understand the questionnaire items	-
Unique	The researcher tests the students using reading comprehension test	-
Unique	At this stage of analysis, the correlation matrix produced cluster of factors	-
Unique	Table 1: The Result of Factors and Their Variance Factor Strategy Category Variance	-
Unique	Option-Selecting Strategies 28.03%	-
Unique	Question-Rereading Strategies 12.81%	-
Unique	Option Comprehension Strategies 7.62%	-
Unique	Answer-Checking Strategies 6.98%	-
Unique	Option Consideration Strategies 5.52%	-
Unique	Discards option(s) based on background knowledge	-
Unique	This factor was named as option-selecting strategies	-
Unique	Factor 2, in addition, obtained 12.81% of the variance	-
Unique	Factor 4 which obtained 6.98% of the variance, loaded two strategy items	-
Unique	Thus this factor was describes as answer-checking strategies	-
Unique	Factor 7 explained 3.83% of the variance	-
Unique	Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 http://ojs	-
Unique	Each component represents some certain strategy items related to perform reading comprehension test	-
Unique	Inference includes basic inference, rhetorical purpose, and insert-text items	-
Unique	The third component consists of four strategy items	-
Unique	The component was called option comprehension strategies	-

Unique	The fourth component loads two strategy items	-
Unique	The component helps student to response read to learn questions	-
Unique	The sixth component was described as cognitive strategies	-
Unique	Retrived February 3, 2015, from http://dc	-
Unique	Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 http://ojs	-
Unique	id/index.php/joelt ISSN: 2548-5865 51 Carnine, D., Silbert, J., & Kameenui,	-
Unique	Direct Instruction Reading (2 nd Edi)	-
Unique	Mentalistic measures in reading strategy research: some recent findings	-
Unique	The ESP journal, 5(2), 131-145	-
Unique	Test-taking strategies on language tests	-
Unique	Journal of English and foreign languages, 10 (11): 90-105	-
Unique	Strategies in responding to the new TOEFL reading tasks	-
Unique	(TOEFL Monograph Series Report No	-
Unique	Task specifications for next generation TOEFL reading test	-
10 results	Reading in a Second Language: Moving from Theory to Practice	amazon.com amazon.com en.wikipedia.org teachingenglish.org.uk en.wikipedia.org eigo-kochi-training.com media.eiken.or.jp
4 results	Teaching and Researching Reading	amazon.com teachingenglish.org.uk rw.org.za u.tsukuba.ac.jp
5 results	Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice	amazon.com amazon.com icrj.eu eslarticle.com usq.edu.au
18 results	Cambridge: Cambridge University Press	en.wikipedia.org app.college.police.uk qualitative-research.net stahlonline.cambridge.org amazon.com amazon.com iteslj.org thelatinlibrary.com socialjusticejournal.org merriam-webster.com
Unique	id/index.php/joelt ISSN: 2548-5865 42 TEST TAKING STRATEGY ON STUDENTS' READING TEST Muhammad Farhan Rafi (1)	-
Unique	stkipjb@gmail.com (2) English Department of STKIP PGRI Jombang ABSTRACT Understanding the meaning of any passage	-

Unique	This is one of objectives in Reading 2 class English Department at STKIP PGRI	-
Unique	have the answers right in performing reading comprehension test, students could make use of test	-
Unique	The research describe what test taking strategy used by students of STKIP PGRI Jombang	-
Unique	This research consisted of 95 correspondent of the third-semester students of English Department STKIP	-
Unique	The research found there were 7 factors from 28 strategy items accounted for 69.1%	-
Unique	They are Option-Selecting Strategies, Question-Rereading Strategies, Option Comprehension Strategies, Answer-Checking Strategies, Option Consideration Strategies,	-
Unique	of learning a variety of science, it is said that reading is the basic skill	-
Unique	Success in reading is very important to students, both for academic and vocational advancement	-
Unique	In relation to the importance of reading, the teacher should develop students' reading skill as	-
Unique	At STKIP PGRI Jombang especially English department, the third semester students must take Reading	-
Unique	Reading 2 has an objective to analyze reading comprehension on the texts and decide	-
Unique	This objective brings the teacher to have a teaching strategy, material and media which	-
Unique	In line with the reading 2 objective, analyzing reading comprehension, reading Journal of English	-
Unique	id/index.php/joelt ISSN: 2548-5865 43 comprehension depends on a reader's awareness of how discourse is structured	-
Unique	g., recognizing main ideas, inferring connections among parts of a text, recognizing organizational patterns in	-
Unique	To make students success in reading comprehension, English teacher needs to make students to	-
Unique	or topic sentences as they appear in a text to help identify when and where	-
Unique	Good readers notice when new topics are introduced, how they are maintained through pronouns	-
Unique	Richard and Renandya (2002: 277) state the primary goal of reading is reading for	-
Unique	Knowing main ideas in a text and exploring the organization of a text are	-
Unique	learn from texts, (4) integrate information, (5) search for information needed for writing, (6) critique	-
Unique	In short, the basic idea of reading is to enable the reader to understand	-
Unique	Koda in Grabe (2009: 14) stated that comprehension occurs when the reader extracts and	-

Unique	This statement shows the complexity of reading since we can say that reading is	-
Unique	In addition, the instruction of first language reading and second language reading instruction are	-
Unique	As students of reading 2, they have to participate on the class refering to	-
Unique	Students need to process passing the Reading 2 class if they want to get	-
Unique	students must not be fail in this subject because they have to continue to	-
Unique	Due to the importance of reading 2 score for the students, this forces them to	-
Unique	For those who realize that reading 2 is really needed as they finish their	-
Unique	They may read alot and do the exercise in the book and check their	-
Unique	Unfortunately, for the students who do not realize about the importance of it as	-
Unique	id/index.php/joelt ISSN: 2548-5865 44 In the end of the Reading 2 class, students must take	-
Unique	questions as well because of their lack ability to achieve full of understanding of the	-
Unique	There are so many ways that the students can do for preparing themselves before	-
Unique	One of the ways for preparing the test is by making use of test-taking	-
Unique	The kinds of language use strategies employed by learners on the various forms of	-
Unique	To be specific, test- taking strategies are techniques that test takers resort to with	-
Unique	task at hand, as Cohen (1986) explained clearly when suggesting that test takers may get	-
Unique	test takers can have control over by selecting what they believe would help them tackle	-
Unique	g., looking for a clue that links the information in the question to that in	-
Unique	To be specific, Assiri (2011) explained that test-taking strategies are techniques that test takers	-
Unique	It means test-taking strategy can help test-takers to enhance their ability in order to	-
Unique	The strategies offer some tips and strategies about how to answer the test correctly	-
Unique	In addition, Cohen (1986) explained that test takers may get their answers to	-
Unique	can manage by selecting what they believe would help them to perform a question, it	-
Unique	g., looking for a clue that refers the information in the question to the reading	-

Unique	strategy that they have when they understand and answer the questions given in Reading	-
Unique	strategy used by the third semester students of STKIP PGRI Jombang in performing Reading	-
Unique	To identify what test taking strategy used by students of STKIP PGRI Jombang in	-
Unique	The respondents of the study are 95 students from the third semester students of	-
Unique	The reading comprehension test is taken from paper based test (Longman Complete Course for	-
Unique	The questionnaires are adopted from questionnaires which were offered by Cohen and Upton in	-
Unique	The questionnaires originally consist of three types of strategies: Reading strategies, Test-taking strategies and	-
Unique	However, the present study focuses on reading test, this study is going to use	-
Unique	Before collecting data for main study, the researcher does a pilot study before the	-
Unique	The pilot study is given to five students to do the test and gives checklists	-
Unique	This step is done to check the materials and the research procedures appropriate for	-
Unique	The pilot study help participants understand what they do and how they do the	-
Unique	The researcher explains the questionnaires and reading sets in order to ensure that participants	-
Unique	After all procedures above are done, the process of data collection in this study	-
Unique	After doing the test, the students give a checklist on the questionnaire of test	-
Unique	The gathered data was taken from the procedure as follows: first, the research uses	-
Unique	The gathered data were analyzed statistically using the computer software program Statistical Package for	-
Unique	PCA was used in order to Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor	-
Unique	id/index.php/joelt ISSN: 2548-5865 46 have types or components of test taking strategy in performing Reading	-
Unique	RESULT Test Taking Strategy Used by Students The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with eigenvalue	-
Unique	The communalities analysis showed that all 28 strategy items were more than .3 and	-
Unique	= 6 %, one factor obtained variance = 5 %, one factor obtained variance	-
Unique	Clues-Finding Strategies 3.83% Cumulative Variance 69.1% As shown in the Table 1 above, factor	-
Unique	It covered six strategy items that deal with selecting option through elimination of other option(s)	-

Unique	passage overall meaning as well as discourse structure, strategies to select options through their discourse	-
Unique	question for clarification: Rereads the question, strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading	-
Unique	and strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading the portion of the text	-
Unique	as question-rereading strategies Moreover, Factor 3 obtained 7.62% of the variance which consisted of four	-
Unique	paragraph, or passage overall meaning (depending on item type), considering the options and defining the	-
Unique	due to this category involved understanding the options, this category was called option comprehension	-
Unique	This factor involved strategies that allow test takers to reconsider or double-check the response	-
Unique	Furthermore, Factor 5 was named option consideration strategies which explained 5.52% of the variance	-
Unique	They were about considering the options and dragging and considering the new sentence in	-
Unique	g., using background knowledge or extra-textual knowledge), considering the options and postponing consideration of the	-
Unique	Factor 6 obtained 4.38% of the variance was called cognitive strategies which covered three	-
Unique	vocabulary, considering the options and checking the vocabulary option in context, and selecting options through	-
Unique	either before or while considering options, and considering the options and focusing on a familiar	-
Unique	id/index.php/joelt ISSN: 2548-5865 48 DISCUSSION It was found that students of STKIP PGRI Jombang used	-
Unique	comprehension strategies, (4) answer- checking strategies, (5) option consideration strategies, (6) cognitive strategies, and (7)	-
Unique	discourse structure, selecting options through their discourse structure, and selecting options through discarding option(s) based	-
Unique	meaning as well as discourse structure, selecting options through their discourse structure, and discarding option(s)	-
Unique	In addition, Cohen and Upton, (2006) explained about basic comprehension questions include vocabulary, pronoun	-
Unique	and strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading the portion of the text	-
Unique	According Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton (2006), strategies, such as predicting or producing	-
Unique	reading the portion of the text referred to by the question, help students to response	-
Unique	Based on ETS, (2003) Interference and reading to learn items focus on students' ability	-
Unique	paragraph, or passage overall meaning (depending on item type), considering the options and defining the	-

Unique	These strategy items could be used by students to apply in performing questions that	-
Unique	According to task classification (ETS, 2003), basic comprehension and interference questions focus on lexical,	-
Unique	paragraph, or passage overall meaning, considering the options and defining the vocabulary option, could be	-
Unique	This factor involved strategies that allow test takers to reconsider or double-check the response	-
Unique	This finding deals with the previous study of Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton	-
Unique	relative importance of information in text The fifth component was named option consideration strategies which	-
Unique	vocabulary, sentence simplification, and factual information and inference items that focus on basic inference and	-
Unique	on basic comprehension, students can apply Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7,	-
Unique	option with an unknown vocabulary, considering the options and checking the vocabulary option in context,	-
Unique	According to task classification (ETS, 2003), basic comprehension questions are used to asses lexical,	-
Unique	the question and then read the passage/portion to look for clues to the answer, either	-
Unique	items, and inference items that focus on basic inference, rhetorical purpose, and insert text items	-
Unique	Cohen and Upton, 2006) CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION The result bore some suggestions, to teachers,	-
Unique	in reading comprehension test, they are required to play their roles properly in order for	-
Unique	that they use and believe that test taking strategy as powerful strategy to performreading comprehension	-
Unique	Future researchers are suggested to the similar research by recruiting a larger sample and	-
Unique	another question regarding to the profile of test taking strategy use and to pose question	-
Unique	Test-taking strategy use on the reading section of the TOEFL iBT: a study of	-

Top plagiarizing domains: [amazon.com](#) (7 matches); [en.wikipedia.org](#) (3 matches); [teachingenglish.org.uk](#) (2 matches); [stahlonline.cambridge.org](#) (1 matches); [iteslj.org](#) (1 matches); [qualitative-research.net](#) (1 matches); [socialjusticejournal.org](#) (1 matches); [merriam-webster.com](#) (1 matches); [app.college.police.uk](#) (1 matches); [thelatinlibrary.com](#) (1 matches); [eslarticle.com](#) (1 matches); [media.eiken.or.jp](#) (1 matches); [eigo-kochi-training.com](#) (1 matches); [rw.org.za](#) (1 matches); [u.tsukuba.ac.jp](#) (1 matches); [icrj.eu](#) (1 matches); [usq.edu.au](#) (1 matches);

Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 42 TEST TAKING STRATEGY ON STUDENTS' READING TEST Muhammad Farhan Rafi (1), Aang Fatikhul Islam (2) (muhammadfarhan@gmail.com) (1), aang.stkipjb@gmail.com (2) English Department of STKIP PGRI Jombang ABSTRACT Understanding the meaning of any passage is the aim of reading comprehension. This is one of objectives in Reading 2 class English Department at STKIP PGRI Jombang. To achieve a good score on this subject, students must take a test, they have the answers right in performing reading comprehension test, students could make use of test taking strategy. The research describe what test taking strategy used by students of STKIP PGRI Jombang in performing reading comprehension test are. This study was with Principal Component Analysis (PCA), and descriptive. This research consisted of 95 correspondent of the third-semester students of English Department STKIP PGRI Jombang. It uses questionnaire and test as its instrument. The research found there were 7 factors from 28 strategy items accounted for 69.1% cumulative of the variance. They are Option-Selecting Strategies, Question-Rereading Strategies, Option Comprehension Strategies, Answer-Checking Strategies, Option Consideration Strategies, Cognitive Strategies and Clues-Finding Strategies. Keywords: Test Taking Strategy, reading comprehension test INTRODUCTION Reading is needed as a means of learning a variety of science, it is said that reading is the basic skill being priority to support the process of mastering other language skills and improving knowledge. Students learn their knowledge through reading. They get information by reading books and other printed media. Success in reading is very important to students, both for academic and vocational advancement and for the students' psychological well being (Carnine, et al., 1990: 106). In relation to the importance of reading, the teacher should develop students' reading skill as the basic for practicing other language skills. At STKIP PGRI Jombang especially English department, the third semester students must take Reading 2 as compulsory subject. Reading 2 has an objective to analyze reading comprehension on the texts and decide the components of text types completely and correctly on the level of intermediate. This objective brings the teacher to have a teaching strategy, material and media which is proper in the classroom. In line with the reading 2 objective, analyzing reading comprehension, reading Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 43 comprehension depends on a reader's awareness of how discourse is structured (Grabe, 2009: 243). Discourse-structure awareness is often associated with a reading strategy or set of strategies (e.g., recognizing main ideas, inferring connections among parts of a text, recognizing organizational patterns in texts, identifying typical genre features of a text). To make students success in reading comprehension, English teacher needs to make students to be good readers. Good readers are tuned to the ways that information is organized and to the signaling mechanisms that provide the cues to this organization, are able to identify the main or topic sentences as they appear in a text to help identify when and where to find main idea. Good readers notice when new topics are introduced, how they are maintained through pronouns and other anaphoric cues, etc. Richard and Renandya (2002: 277) state the primary goal of reading is reading for comprehension. Knowing main ideas in a text and exploring the organization of a text are essential for good comprehension. Besides, reading is proposed to: (1) search for simple information, (2) skim quickly, (3) learn from texts, (4) integrate information, (5) search for information needed for writing, (6) critique texts, and (7) to get general comprehension (Grabe & Stoller, 2002: 13). In short, the basic idea of reading is to enable the reader to understand the text to get the information. Koda in Grabe (2009: 14) stated that comprehension occurs when the reader extracts and integrates various information from the text and combines it with what is already known. This statement shows the complexity of reading since we can say that reading is understood as a complex combination of processes. In addition, the instruction of first language reading and second language reading instruction are different. It causes dilemma for the development of second language reading ability. As students of reading 2, they have to participate on the class referring to Reading 2 objectives such as reading comprehension. Students need to process passing the Reading 2 class if they want to get good score. Students must not fail in this subject because they have to continue to Reading 3 class. Due to the importance of reading 2 score for the students, this forces them to get score as high as they can. For those who realize that reading 2 is really needed as they finish their study, they may prepare themselves for facing test by studying and trying to test themselves. They may read alot and do the exercise in the book and check their answer by seeing its answer key. Unfortunately, for the students who do not realize about the importance of it as they finish their study, they may do nothing for preparing it. Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 44 In the end of the Reading 2 class, students must take test. The problem comes when some students do not understand the passage and answer the questions as well because of their lack ability to achieve full of understanding of the text on the Reading 2 test, so that they need solutions to overcome their lacks. There are so many ways that the students can do for preparing themselves before doing reading 2 test and get the score as high as possible. One of the ways for preparing the test is by making use of test-taking strategy. The kinds of language use strategies employed by learners on the various forms of language assessment are referred to in the literature as test-taking strategies (Cohen, 1992). To be specific, test-taking strategies are techniques that test takers resort to with the aim of getting correct answers on a given test. The successful use of these strategies does not necessarily imply mastery of the testing task at hand, as Cohen (1986) explained clearly when suggesting that test takers may get their answers to a reading task right "without fully or even partially understanding the text". In a later article, Cohen (1992) noted that test-taking strategies represent processes that test takers can have control over by selecting what they believe would help them tackle a test question, suggesting that test-taking strategies are conscious processes. He added that these strategies can either be a short move (e.g., looking for a clue that links the information in the question to that in the reading text) or a long one (e.g., reading the whole text after reading the questions). To be specific, Assiri (2011) explained that test-taking strategies are techniques that test takers use to get correct answers on a given test as the goal. It means test-taking strategy can help test-takers to enhance their ability in order to achieve score that they wish. The strategies offer some tips and strategies about how to answer the test correctly in the time given. In addition, Cohen (1986) explained that test takers may get their answers to a reading task correct without understanding the whole text or part of the text. In his later article, Cohen (1992) stated that test-taking strategies represent processes that test takers can manage by selecting what they believe would help them to perform a question, it can be mentioned that test-taking strategies are conscious processes. He added that these strategies can be a short move (e.g., looking for a clue that refers the information in the question to the reading text) or a long one as well (e.g., reading the whole text after reading the questions). Based on the case above, the writer is interested to know students test taking strategy that they have when they understand and answer the questions given in Reading 2 class at STKIP PGRI Jombang. Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 45 METHOD This study aims to find out to what test taking strategy used by the third semester students of STKIP PGRI Jombang in performing Reading 2 test. To identify what test taking strategy used by students of STKIP PGRI Jombang in performing Reading 2 test, the researcher applies descriptive design. The respondents of the study are 95 students from the third semester students of English Department STKIP PGRI Jombang. The respondents were asked to complete three research instruments; the reading comprehension test, test-taking strategy Questionnaire and Respondent's Background Questionnaire. The reading comprehension test is taken from paper based test (Longman Complete Course for the TOEFL Test by Deborah Phillips: 2001). The questionnaires are adopted from questionnaires which were offered by Cohen and Upton in 2006 and adopted by Assiri in 2011. The questionnaires originally consist of three types of strategies: Reading strategies, Test-taking strategies and Test-wiseness strategies. However, the present study focuses on reading test, this study is going to use one of three types of strategies; Test-taking strategies which consist of 28 items. The questionnaire of Test-taking strategy is modified as "Yes" or "No" response choices. Then the respondent also completed the same questionnaire with a 5 point Likert scale. The questionnaire was translated to Indonesian to help participants understand the questionnaire items. Before collecting data for main study, the researcher does a pilot study before the researcher goes to the main study. The pilot study is given to five students to do the test and gives checklists on the questionnaire of test taking strategy. This step is done to check the materials and the research procedures appropriate for main study. The pilot study help participants understand what they do and how they do the procedures as well. The researcher explains the questionnaires and reading sets in order to ensure that participants in doing the test. After all procedures above are done, the process of data collection in this study is completed. The researcher tests the students using reading comprehension test. After doing the test, the students give a checklist on the questionnaire of test taking strategy. The gathered data was taken from the procedure as follows: first, the research uses Principal Component Analysis (PCA), covering 28 items strategies to analyze the classification of strategies. The gathered data were analyzed statistically using the computer software program Statistical Package for Social Science Version 17 (SPSS 17). At this stage of analysis, the correlation matrix produced cluster of factors. PCA was used in order of Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 46 have types or components of test taking strategy in performing Reading 2 test. RESULT Test Taking Strategy Used by Students The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with eigenvalue 1.0 or more, resulted 7 factors, and the cumulative variance is 69.1 %. The communalities analysis showed that all 28 strategy items were more than .3 and it indicated that each item proper well with the other items in its component. There were 7 factors, one factor obtained variance = 28 %, one factor obtained variance = 12 %, one factor obtained variance = 7 %, one factor obtained variance = 6 %, one factor obtained variance = 5 %, one factor obtained variance = 4 %, one factor obtained variance = 3 %. Table 1: The Result of Factors and Their Variance Factor Strategy Category Variance 1. Option-Selecting Strategies 28.03% 2. Question-Rereading Strategies 12.81% 3. Option Comprehension Strategies 7.62% 4. Answer-Checking Strategies 6.98% 5. Option Consideration Strategies 5.52% 6. Cognitive Strategies 4.38% 7. Clues-Finding Strategies 3.83% Cumulative Variance 69.1% As shown in the Table 1 above, factor 1 obtained greater loadings from 28 strategy items, 28.03 % of the variance. It covered six strategy items that deal with selecting option through elimination of other option(s) as unreasonable based on paragraph/overall passage meaning. It also includes strategies to select options through elimination of other option(s) as similar or overlapping and not as comprehensive, strategies to select options through elimination of other option(s) as unreasonable based on background knowledge, strategists discard option(s) based on vocabulary, sentence, paragraph, or passage overall meaning as well as discourse structure, strategies to select options through their discourse structure. Discards option(s) based on background knowledge. This factor was named as option-selecting strategies. Factor 2, in addition, obtained 12.81% of the variance. There were seven strategy items which explain strategies to go back to the question for clarification: Paraphrases (or confirms) the question or task, strategies to go back to the question for clarification: Rereads the question, strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading the Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 47 question and then looks at the options (before returning to text), strategies to go back to the question for clarification: Wrestles with the question intent, strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading questions that require text insertion (I-it types), strategies to read the question and considers the options before going back to the passage/portion, and strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading the portion of the text referred to by the question. Due to the most of strategies rereading the question, thus, this factor was described as question-rereading strategies. Moreover, Factor 3 obtained 7.62% of the variance which consisted of four strategy items. They were considering the options and paraphrasing the meaning, selecting options through vocabulary, sentence, paragraph, or passage overall meaning (depending on item type), considering the options and defining the vocabulary option, and looking at the vocabulary item and locating the item in context. due to this category involved understanding the options, this category was called option comprehension strategies. Factor 4 which obtained 6.98% of the variance, loaded two strategy items. This factor involved strategies that allow test takers to reconsider or double-check the response and to consider the options and wrestle with the option meaning. Thus this factor was describes as answer-checking strategies. Furthermore, Factor 5 was named option consideration strategies which explained 5.52% of the variance and consisted of four strategy items. They were about considering the options and dragging and considering the new sentence in context, making an educated guess (e.g., using background knowledge or extra-textual knowledge), considering the options and postponing consideration of the option, and considering the options and selecting preliminary option(s) (lack of certainty indicated). Factor 6 obtained 4.38% of the variance was called cognitive strategies which covered three strategy items. They are strategies of considering the options and identifying an option with an unknown vocabulary, considering the options and checking the vocabulary option in context, and selecting options through background knowledge. Factor 7 explained 3.83% of the variance. It loaded two strategy items. This factor was described as clues- finding strategies that mainly concerned with strategy to read the question and then read the passage/portion to look for clues to the answer, either before or while considering options, and considering the options and focusing on a familiar option. Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 48 DISCUSSION It was found that students of STKIP PGRI Jombang used primarily seven strategy components. Each component represents some certain strategy items related to perform reading comprehension test. These seven components are (1) option- selecting strategies, (2) question- rereading strategies, (3) option comprehension strategies, (4) answer- checking strategies, (5) option consideration strategies, (6) cognitive strategies, and (7) clues-finding strategies. The first component was named option-selecting strategies since it covered strategies which are related to selecting options through elimination of other option(s) as unreasonable based on paragraph/overall passage meaning, selecting options through elimination of other option(s) as similar or overlapping and not as comprehensive, selecting options through elimination of other option(s) as unreasonable based on background knowledge, selecting option through discarding option(s) based on vocabulary, sentence, paragraph, or passage overall meaning as well as discourse structure, selecting options through their discourse structure, and selecting options through discarding option(s) based on background knowledge. According to Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton (2006), to perform questions which focus on basic comprehension, interference and read to learn items, students can apply these strategy items on this component such as discarding option(s) based on vocabulary, sentence, paragraph, or passage overall meaning as well as discourse structure, selecting options through their discourse structure, and discarding option(s) based on background knowledge. In addition, Cohen and Upton, (2006) explained about basic comprehension questions include vocabulary, pronoun reference, sentence simplification, factual information and not/except items; Inference includes basic inference, rhetorical purpose, and insert-text items; and read to learn questions include prose summary and schematic table items. The second component was described as question-rereading strategies which has seven strategy items which explain strategies to re-read the questions by paraphrasing the question, strategies to re-read the question for clarification, strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading the question and then looking at the options (before returning to text), strategies to re-read by wrestling with the question intent, strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading questions that require text insertion , strategies to read the question and considers the options before going back to the passage/portion, and strategies to predict or produce own answer after reading the portion of the text referred to by the question. According Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton (2006), strategies, such as predicting or producing own answer after Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 49 reading questions that require text insertion, reading the question and considering the options before going back to the passage/portion, and predicting or producing own answer after reading the portion of the text referred to by the question, help students to response questions which deal with interference and reading to learn items. Based on ETS, (2003) Interference and reading to learn items focus on students' ability to connect information and recognize the organization and purpose of the text. The third component consists of four strategy items. The component was called option comprehension strategies. There were considering the options and paraphrasing the meaning, selecting options through vocabulary, sentence, paragraph, or passage overall meaning (depending on item type), considering the options and defining the vocabulary option, and looking at the vocabulary item and locating the item in context. These strategy items could be used by students to apply in performing questions that focus basic comprehension and inference items. According to task classification (ETS, 2003), basic comprehension and inference questions focus on lexical, synthetic and semantic ability. This finding supports the study of the Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton (2006) that strategies included considering the options and paraphrasing the meaning, selecting options through vocabulary, sentence, paragraph, or passage overall meaning, considering the options and defining the vocabulary option, could be used by students in answering question about basic comprehension and inference. The fourth component loads two strategy items. This factor involved strategies that allow test takers to reconsider or double-check the response and to consider the options and wrestle with the option meaning. This finding deals with the previous study of Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton (2006). The component helps student to response read to learn questions. According ETS, (2003) read to learn questions focus on understanding the major ideas and relative importance of information in text. The fifth component was named option consideration strategies which consist of four strategy items. According the study of Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton, (2006), strategies, such as considering the options and postponing consideration of the option, and considering the options and selecting preliminary option(s) (lack of certainty indicated) are used by students to perform questions that focus basic comprehension vocabulary, sentence simplification, and factual information and inference items that focus on basic inference and rhetorical purpose. The sixth component was described as cognitive strategies. Assiri (2011) and Cohen & Upton, (2006) stated that to perform questions which focus on basic comprehension, students can apply Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 50 these strategy items such as considering the options and identifying an option with an unknown vocabulary, considering the options and checking the vocabulary option in context, and selecting options through background knowledge. According to task classification (ETS, 2003), basic comprehension questions are used to assess lexical, synthetic and semantic ability. The seventh component loads two strategy items that mainly concerned with strategy to read the question and then read the passage/portion to look for clues to the answer, either before or while considering options, and considering the options and focusing on a familiar option. This component was called clues-finding strategies which could be used by students to apply in performing questions that focus basic comprehension vocabulary, pronoun reference, sentence simplification, factual information, and not/except items, and inference items that focus on basic inference, rhetorical purpose, and insert text items (Assiri, 2011; Cohen and Upton, 2006) CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION The result bore some suggestions, to teachers, they are motivated to make their students know test taking strategy. Teachers can employ the strategies to be practiced by their students as frequently as possible in order to students can use the strategy authentically and achieve a better score in reading comprehension test, they are required to play their roles properly in order for test taking strategy to be optimized. Thus test takers should be more conscious about the importance of test taking strategy that they use and believe that test taking strategy as powerful strategy to perform reading comprehension test. Future researchers are suggested to the similar research by recruiting a larger sample and using random sampling in participant selection. Future researches are also suggested to pose questions regarding to students which have higher score and lower score whether they use test taking strategy as similar frequency and pose another question regarding to the profile of test taking strategy use and to pose question how students use test taking strategy in performing reading comprehension test. REFERENCES Assiri, M. S. (2011). Test-taking strategy use on the reading section of the TOEFL iBT: a study of arab eoll learners. Retrieved February 3, 2015, from <http://dc.library.okstate.edu/>. Journal of English Language Teaching Volume 4 Nomor 7, Februari 2017 <http://ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/joelt> ISSN: 2548-5865 51 Carnine, D., Silbert, J., & Kameenui, E.J. (1990). Direct Instruction Reading (2 nd Ed). New York: Harper Collins. Cohen, A. (1986). Mentalistic measures in reading strategy research: some recent findings. The ESP journal, 5(2), 131-145. Cohen, A. (1992). Test-taking strategies on language tests. Journal of English and foreign languages, 10 (11): 90-105. Cohen, A., & Upton, T. (2006). Strategies in responding to the new TOEFL reading tasks. (TOEFL Monograph Series Report No. 33). Princeton, NJ: ETS. ETS. (2003). Task specifications for next generation TOEFL reading test. Princeton, NJ: Author. Grabe, W. (2009). Reading in a Second Language: Moving from Theory to Practice. Cambridge: University Press. Grabe, W., & Stoller, F. L. (2002). **Teaching and Researching Reading**. Great Britain: Longman. Richards, J.C., & Renadya, W.A. (2002). **Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.